Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

,			

ass 42-110

ARS 42-110 March 1965

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Agricultural Research Service

HEXADECYL ALCOHOL AS A SPINDLE MOISTENING AGENT FOR MECHANICAL COTTON PICKERS—

R. E. Parker, $\frac{2}{}$ Edward H. Shanklin, $\frac{3}{}$ and C. Scott Shaw $\frac{2}{}$

Water is generally used to moisten the spindles of mechanical cotton pickers. A moistener is applied to keep the spindle barbs free of juices and plant parts and to help pull the cotton from the boll. If allowed to accumulate on the spindle, foreign material interferes with the operating efficiency of the picker.

The main disadvantage of using water is that it increases the moisture in mechanically harvested cotton by 1 or 2 percent. The increased use of mechanical harvesters in recent years has increased the time lapse between harvesting and ginning, and the increased moisture in mechanically harvested cotton adds to the degree of deterioration while it is in trailer storage. A better moistening agent is needed to help overcome these problems.

Several methods of eliminating or reducing the problem of excess moisture in spindle-harvested seed cotton have been tried since 1945 at Stoneville, Miss.— In recent years, studies have also been conducted in California, Texas, Arizona, and Oklahoma. Many studies involved the use of anhydrous agents in lieu of water as a spindle moistener. The use of anhydrous agents has the following advantages: (a) The low freezing point of such agents eliminates the need for daily drainage of the picker supply tank during cold weather; (b) the small quantity used makes it necessary to fill supply tanks only once or twice a season compared with daily filling when water is used; and (c) the inside of the picker is noticeably cleaner, and the time required to keep it clean throughout a day's operation is greatly reduced.

^{1/} The research was a cooperative investigation between the Agricultural Engineering Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and the Mississippi Agricultural Experiment Station, Delta Branch, Stoneville, Miss., and is a contribution to Regional Cotton Mechanization Project, S-2.

^{2/} Agricultural Engineering Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

^{3/} Market Quality Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

^{4/} Parker, R. E., Wooten, O. B., Williamson, E. B., and Colwick, R. F. effects of experimental spindle moistening agents on quality of mechanically-harvested cotton. Proc., SE Sec., Amer. Soc. Agr. Engrs., Jacksonville, Fla. Feb. 6, 1962.

These advantages are contingent upon the anhydrous agent having no harmful effect on cotton quality. Past studies have shown that some anhydrous agents are harmful. Textile mills are particularly concerned about contamination of lint by mechanical pickers, whether it is caused by lubricants or by spindle moistening agents. Therefore, widespread use of a harmful moistening agent could damage cotton and affect a large part of the cotton industry.

A promising anhydrous spindle moistening agent is Enjay Cotton Spray-hexadecyl alcohol. 6/7/ It has been studied extensively at several locations
in the Cotton Belt for 4 years. This report covers the 4-year study conducted
at Stoneville, Miss., beginning in 1959.

PRELIMINARY TESTS

In 1959

Seed cotton was mechanically harvested using water, hexadecyl alcohol, and hexadecyl alcohol plus an emulsifier as spindle moistening agents. All lots received the same ginning treatment and were spun at the Textile Research Laboratory at Lubbock, Texas.

The most important results are shown in table 1. Hexadecyl alcohol alone appeared to be at least as effective as water. However, cotton that had been picked when spindles were moistened with emulsified hexadecyl alcohol at the rate of 0.245 of a gallon per bale had excessive ends down in spinning. Because of this, further studies with emulsified hexacedyl alcohol were discontinued. Additional studies with variable rates of straight hexadecyl alcohol were performed later.

In 1960

Since the relatively low rate of hexadecyl alcohol (0.245 of a gallon per bale) applied in 1959 had no apparent harmful effects on cotton quality, an excessively high rate was applied in 1960 to see if hexadecyl alcohol applied at any rate would affect spinning qualities of the cotton. Enjay Cotton Spray

^{5/} Parker, R. E., Williamson, E. B., Wooten, O. B., and Colwick, R. F. oils used as mechanical picker spindle moisteners may affect cotton's quality. Miss. Agr. Expt. Sta. Inform. Sheet 774. August 1962.

^{6/} The authors wish to express their appreciation to Theodore Lewis, chemist, Enjay Chemical Company, for supplying all hexadecyl alcohol used in this study.

^{7/} Trade names are used in this publication solely for the purpose of providing specific information. Mention of a trade name does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the U.S. Department of Agriculture or an endorsement by the Department over other products not mentioned.

Table 1. Effects of spindle moistening agents on picker efficiency, spinning performance, and fiber properties, Stoneville, Miss., 1959

Test item	Water	Hexadecyl alcohol <u>l</u> /	Hexadecyl alcohol plus emulsifier
Picker efficiency 2/pct.	86.1	87.4	86.9
Spinning performance: Ends down per 1,000 spindle hours Break factorindex Yarn appearanceindex Nonlint	90 2.0 7.5 18.1	44.8 1736 95 2.2 7.4 16.8	114.8 1487 80 1.8 8.3 20.7
Uniformityratio Pressley strength1,000 p.s.i. Micronairereading Maturityindex	77.3 4.25	80 75.7 4.16 74	81 77.4 4.08 75

^{1/} Applied at the rate of 0.245 of a gallon per bale.

was applied to a half-bale lot at the rate of 0.85 of a gallon per bale. Another half-bale lot was harvested from the same field as a check. Both lots were processed and tested at Lubbock, Tex. Results show that the hexadecyl alcohol possibly had a slightly adverse effect on fiber strength, ends down per thousand spindle hours of spinning, yarn break factor, neps, and yarn grade (table 2).

 $[\]overline{2}$ / No significant difference between treatments.

^{3/} The EDMSH was averaged from the following spindle hours of spinning: Water--15,000; alcohol--25,000; alcohol plus emulsifier--5,000.

Table 2. Effects of Enjay Cotton Spray on seed cotton harvesting and fiber properties, Stoneville, Miss., 1960

Test item	Enjay Cotton Spray	Water
Application per balegallons	0.85	5.6
Picker efficiencypercent	89.4	83.3
Seed cotton trash content percent	7.24	8.70
Lint gradedesignation	SLM-	SLM
Lint gradeindex	92.0	92.8
Upper half mean lengthinches	1.11	1.13
Strength1,000 p.s.i.		78.2
Micronaire (fineness)reading		4.4
Card wastepercent		6.05
Ends down per 1,000 spindle hours		67.5
Break factorunits		1,759
Nepsper 100 sq. ins.	1	13.1
Yarn color:		
Gray (unbleached)index	94	94
Bleached		112
Bleached and dyedindex		99
Yarn appearancegrade		C
8288		

In 1961

It was proposed that half-bale lots of cotton would be harvested by use of each of the following rates of hexadecyl alcohol per bale as a spindle moistener:
(a) 0.25 of a gallon, (b) 0.50 of a gallon, and (c) 0.75 of a gallon.

Pickers were adjusted to deliver approximately these rates per bale by allowing the spindles to rotate, adjusting the moistener control valves, and estimating the rate of alcohol flow per bale at each valve setting. The rates of hexadecyl alcohol actually consumed in the field per bale were 0.35 of a gallon, 0.48 of a gallon, and 0.82 of a gallon. A half-bale check (control) was harvested on the same day using water at the rate of 1.9 gallons per bale for the spindle moistener. All lots were ginned immediately after harvesting and shipped to Lubbock, Tex., for processing.

Table 3 shows the effect of applying three rates of alcohol on various cotton qualities. Among the qualities that could be statistically analyzed, leaf grade and spinning performance were adversely affected by the high rate of alcohol, but the medium and high rates of application tended to benefit fiber upper half mean length. In no instance was there any significant difference between the check (control), on which water had been used, and the low rate of alcohol. Although no analysis could be made, it appeared that the high rate of alcohol caused an increase in nep count.

Field performance of machines using Enjay Cotton Spray during the 3 years of preliminary testing was generally satisfactory for all of the rates used. However, the effects of the several rates of alcohol on some of the cotton's properties, especially nep content, fiber strength, and spinning performance, were inconclusive. It was concluded, however, that alcohol applied at a rate of more than 0.80 of a gallon per bale adversely affected nep content and spinning performance of cotton in 1960 and 1961.

Table 3. Effects on cotton qualities of water and variable rates of hexadecyl alcohol applied to moisten spindles of mechanical pickers--crop of 1961

[Values underscored by the same line are not significantly different at the 0.01 percent level according to Duncan's multiple range test]

Test item	Water 1.9 gal. _{1/}		Hexadecyl alcohol per bale						
	per bale 1/	0.35 gal.	0.48 gal.	0.82 gal.					
Grade elements:	,								
Leaf by classerindex	94.0	91.8	89.5	85.0					
Color by classerindex	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0					
Color by colorimeterindex	89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0					
Fibrograph data:									
Upper half mean lengthin.	1.06	1.07	1.10	1.09					
Mean lengthin.	.89	.88	.90	.89					
Fiber strengthgrams per tex	21.2	21.4	21.8	21.8					
Fiber fineness, micronaire reading	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1					
Spinning data:									
Ends down per thousand spindle hrs	61.7	57.5	79.4	94.6					
Yarn colorindex		109	104	104					
Large neps per 100 sq. in. of card	6.2	4.7	7.3	17.8					
All neps per 100 sq. in. of card web	32.3	25.1	32.4	49.7					

^{1/} Control lot was picked with water only.

COMPREHENSIVE TESTS OF 1962

The comprehensive tests of 1962 were designed to yield more reliable information on the effects of applying hexadecyl alcohol at rates between 0.20 and 0.50 of a gallon per bale.

Procedure

Twelve bales of Stoneville 7A cotton received the following treatments (4 treatments x 3 replications = 12 lots):

- 1. Check (control) picked with plain water.
- 2. Low rate of alcohol (0.20 to 0.29 of a gallon per bale).
- 3. Medium rate of alcohol (0.30 to 0.39 of a gallon per bale).
- 4. High rate of alcohol (0.40 to 0.49 of a gallon per bale).

The bales were harvested with a two-row tapered-spindle picker and ginned on October 3, 1962, using the following moderate gin cleaning machinery arrangement: Tower drier at 200° F., 6-cylinder cleaner, bur machine with stick remover attachment, 7-cylinder cleaner, extractor-feeder, and double lint cleaners.

The actual rate of alcohol applied was based on a bale weight of 500 pounds of lint and was calculated after each treated lot had been picked, ginned, and weighed (less ties and bagging). It was apparent that the rate of alcohol used to moisten spindles could not be estimated to the nearest tenth of a gallon per bale before harvesting because the yield of seed cotton per acre varied too widely. Therefore, the nine lots picked with alcohol as the moistening agent were regrouped into three classes, based on the actual application of alcohol per bale, which was calculated after each bale had been ginned and weighed. Fortunately, there were three replications for each treatment. Alcohol applied to spindle for each treatment and replication was as follows in gallons per bale:

- 1. Low rate--0.20, 0.26, 0.26.
- 2. Medium rate--0.31, 0.36, 0.36.
- 3. High rate--0.40, 0.45, 0.49.

The twelve bales of lint, each weighing approximately 300 pounds were shipped to the pilot spinning plant at Clemson, S. C., where they were stored for approximately 1 year. The bagging and ties were then removed 24 hours before the cotton was processed through the opening and picking equipment. This allowed the fiber to "bloom" and condition before processing.

All lots were processed separately from opening through spinning, using the following organization:

A spinning test consisted of spinning a full doff of 40s yarn on 4 spinning frames. A full doff required 10-1/4 hours of continuous frame operation and produced a test of 10,332 spindle hours. Ends down were pieced-up and recorded on 15-minute cycles. Yarn size, skein strength, single-strand strength, and Uster imperfection determinations were made on all yarns.

All fiber tests, spinning tests, and yarn-evaluation tests were performed under controlled atmospheric conditions. The Suter-Webb array, Fibrograph, "O" and 1/8-inch gage Pressley strength, and Micronaire tests were made on samples taken at intervals throughout each bale.

Results

Test results from samples collected at the gin are shown in table 4. There were no differences in the classer's grade. All samples classed Middling, and most all classed 1-1/32 inches in staple length.

All lots were fairly uniform in content of moisture and foreign matter before they were ginned. All lots were even more alike in these categories after they were ginned.

The average of three replications and a summary of the means of major fiber properties and spinning tests are shown in table 5. Full details of test results and statistical analyses are shown in appendix table 6 through 9.

Table 4. Effects on cotton qualities of water and variable rates of hexadecyl alcohol applied to moisten spindles of mechanical picker tests of 1962

[Values are averages of 9 samples, 3 for each of 3 replications]

	Water		Hexa	adecyl alcoh	01-
Test item	only		1	llons per ba	
	(control 1	ot)	0.20-0.29	0.30-0.39	0.40-0.49
Classification data:	y				
Gradeindex	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0
Staple length32nd in.	33.2		33.2	33.2	33.0
Moisture content:					
Wagon samplepct.	8.4		9.6	8.4	8.4
Seed samplepct.	9.1		9.2	9.5	8.4
Lint samplepct.	3.8		4.0	3.6	3.7
Foreign matter in seed cotton:					
Wagon sample:					
Hullspct.	2.3		2.5	2.1	3.1
Sticks and stemspct.	.3		.5	.5	.6
Grasspct.	.2		.2	.2	.1
Large leafpct.	.9		.9	.8	1.0
Small leafpct.	1.1		1.1	.9	1.1
Pin trashpct.	1.1		1.2	1.0	1.2
Total foreign matterpct.	5.9		6.4	5.5	7.1
Feeder sample:					
Hullspct.	.5		.5	.3	.3
Sticks and stemspct.	.1		.1	.1	.3
Grasspct.	.1		.1	0	0
Large leafpct.	.3		.2	.2	.3
Small leafpct.	.6		.7	.5	.6
Pin trashpct.	.3		.3	.3	.3
Total foreign matterpct.	1.9		1.9	1.4	1.8
Foreign matter removed by seed					
cotton cleaningpct.	67.8		70.3	74.5	74.6
Foreign matter in lintpct.	1.86		1.82	1.72	1.76

^{1/100} — Middling, etc.

Fiber length and strength, picker and card waste, yarn strength, and ends down as effected by hexadecyl alcohol used as a spindle moistener, tests of 1962 Table 5.

		7	Alcohol used on picker spindles	used on picker spind gallons per bale	les-
Item	Water only (control lot)	0.20 to 0.29 (low rate)	0.20 to 0.29 0.30 to 0.39 0.40 to 0.49 (low rate) (medium rate) (high rate)	0.40 to 0.49 (high rate)	Statistical 1/significance
Suter-Webb array: Upper quartile lengthinches	1.15	1.15	1.14	1.15	NS SA
Coefficient of variationpercent	34	33	33	34	NS NS
Pressley strength:	83	82	80	82	NS
Total picker and card wastepercent	4.4	4.'5	4.4	4.5	NS
Yarn strength: Break factorunits Single strand strengthgrams	1,734 173.5	1,701 163.7	1,692	1,705	NS NS
Ends down per 1,000 spindle hours-	71	69	62	61	**

NS means not significant at 95-percent level; ** means significant at 99-percent level. 71

Discussion

Presence of Alcohol on Cotton Fibers

The vanadium oxinate test for alcohol was used to detect any alcohol that might have remained on the cotton fibers. This test is sensitive down to 6.5 micrograms of cetyl (hexadecyl alcohol).

All alcohol determinations were negative; no alcohol was found on the cotton fibers tested.

Fiber Properties

The use of alcohol as a wetting agent on cotton picker spindles had no significant effect on fiber length and length distribution, as measured by the Suter-Webb array test, on fiber strength, and on "0" gage Pressley test (table 5).

According to the analyses of variance, the alcohol used as a wetting agent had no significant effect on any fiber properties at the 95 percent probability level, except Fibrograph uniformity ratio (appendix table 7).

Cotton harvested at the medium and high rates of application of the wetting agent produced lint that had a uniformity ratio of 79, but that harvested with water (the control) and with a low rate of application of the alcohol produced lint that had a uniformity ratio of 77. These differences are so small that they are not considered to have any pratical importance.

Processing Properties

Picker and card waste were not significantly affected by the use of hexadecyl alcohol as a wetting agent on the picker spindles (table 5). Total picker and card waste ranged from 4.4 to 4.6 percent (appendix table 8).

Hexadecyl alcohol used as a picker spindle wetting agent had no significant effect on yarn strength (table 5). The differences between the extreme break factors represent only a 1-pound difference in skein strength.

Cotton harvested by use of the medium and high application rates of alcohol as a wetting agent produced significantly lower ends down per 1,000 spindle hours (EDMSH) than did the cotton that was harvested with water (control), and with the low application rate of the alcohol wetting agent (table 5). The high application rate produced an average of 61 EDMSH, while water (control) produced 71 EDMSH.

The difference in ends down may not be real because of the lack of significant differences between treatments for most fiber properties, the negative residual alcohol determinations, and the relatively small differences in ends down obtained in the test. The standard error of the mean of three determinations of ends down is ordinarily larger than the 1.3 ends down obtained in this test.

Alcohol had no significant effect at the 95-percent probability level on any other processing properties (appendix tables 8 and 9).

CONCLUSION

The results of this 4-year study indicate that the use of hexadecyl alcohol as a wetting agent on the picker spindles had no adverse effects on fiber properties, yarn properties, or spinning performance when used at rates ranging from 0.20 to 0.50 of a gallon per bale.

There is an indication based on the 1962 data that spinning performance was improved when from 0.30 to 0.50 of a gallon of alcohol per bale was used; however, the 1961 data (based on only one sample) indicated that spinning performance was adversely affected when 0.48 of a gallon of alcohol was used. Therefore, the differences in spinning performance in 1962 probably were not real because no other significant effects on fiber properties were noted. In addition, the differences in spinning performance in 1961 were probably due to chance or to one or more uncontrolled variables.

The relatively high rates of alcohol applied to picker spindles in 1960 and 1961 (0.85 of a gallon and 0.82 of a gallon per bale, respectively) caused a considerable increase in nep count and a slight decrease in spinning performance over the control lot for both years. The use of the alcohol as a spindle moistener at these rates should be avoided at all times.

Fiber length and length distribution (array method) as effected by hexadecyl alcohol used as a picker spindle moistener, tests of 1962 Table 6.

0.20 - 0.29			Water only	Alcohol	Alcohol used on picker s	spindles - bale	
1 1.16 1.14 1.15 1.15 Average 1.15 1.16 1.14 1.15 Average 1.15 1.16 1.14 1.15 1 1.14 1.15 1.15 1 1.15 1.16 1.14 1.15 1 1.15 1.16 1.14 1.15 1 1.15 1.15 1.15 2 .92 .92 .92 3 .92 .92 .92 3 .92 .92 .92 3 .92 .92 .92 Average 3.4 .33 .33 .34 Average 12.5 12.0 12.6 12.6 1 36.6 39.6 39.6 36.7 38.3 Average 12.5 12.1 12.1 12.6 1 51.2 48.4 550.6 49.6 49.6 49.5	Item tested	Repli- cation	(Control treatment)	1 7		40 - igh r	Statistical significance 1/
Average 1.14 1.14 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15	Upper quartile	1	•		1.14	1.15	
Average 1.15 1.16 1.14 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.15 1.15 1.16 1.15 1.16 1.15 1.16 1.15 1.16 1.15 1.16 1.15 1.16 1.15 1.16 1.15 1.16 1.15 1.15	length	2	•	1.14	1,15	1,15	
Average 1.15 1.14 1.15 1 .93 .92 .92 .92 2 .91 .92 .92 .92 3 .92 .92 .92 .92 Average .92 .92 .92 .92 Average .92 .92 .92 .92 1 34 32 34 34 3 33 33 33 34 3 34 33 33 34 3 33 33 34 34 3 33 33 34 34 3 33 33 34 34 3 34 33 33 34 4verage 12.4 12.0 12.6 12.6 1 36.6 39.6 36.7 38.3 2 38.4 39.3 41.3 38.3 3 49.6 48.6 40.6	(inches)	က	1.15	1.16	1.14	1.16	NS
1 .93 .92 .92 .92 2 .91 .91 .92 .92 3 .92 .92 .92 .92 Average .92 .92 .92 .92 Average .92 .92 .92 .92 1 .92 .92 .92 .92 2 .34 .32 .92 .92 3 .34 .33 .34 .34 3 .34 .33 .34 .34 3 .34 .33 .34 .34 3 .34 .33 .34 .34 3 .34 .33 .34 .34 3 .34 .33 .35 .34 4verage .12.6 .12.0 .12.6 .12.6 1 .36.6 .39.6 .36.8 .38.3 2 .39.4 .39.3 .41.3 .38.3 3 .48.6		Average	•	1.15	1.14	1.15	
f 1 34 32 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39	N N	-	03	92	, 99	92	
Average .92 .91 .92 .92 .92 .92 .92 .92 .92 .92 .92 .92	Teach	٠ ,	5.0	10	0.0	00	
Average .92 .92 .92 Average .92 .92 .92 1 34 32 34 34 2 34 33 33 34 3 33 33 34 34 3 34 33 34 34 3 33 33 33 34 1 12.4 12.0 12.6 12.6 1 13.1 12.4 11.9 13.4 1 13.1 12.4 11.9 13.4 1 36.6 39.6 36.8 38.2 3 38.4 39.2 41.3 38.2 3 38.4 39.4 38.3 38.4 4 39.4 38.3 38.4 41.3 3 49.6 48.5 50.0 49.0 4 48.5 46.9 49.0 49.0 4 48.6 49.6 49.6	Tengen	7 6	. 91	.91	26.	26.	NG
F 1 34 32 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 33 33	(Inches)	2	26.	26.	26.	26.	CM
F 1 34 32 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 33 33 33 33		Average	76.	76.	76.	76.	
Average 34 33 33 34 34 34 35 33 34 34 34 35 33 34 34 35 33 34 34 35 34 34 35 34 34 36.8 36.8 38.2 38.4 39.4 39.4 39.4 50.6 49.0 50.0 Average 49.5 48.5 49.6 49.5 49.6 49.0	Coefficient of	_	34	32	34	34	
Average 34 33 32 33 34 34 34 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35	variation		37	33	33	34	
Average 34 33 33 34 r 1 12.4 12.0 12.6 12.6 2 13.1 12.4 11.9 13.4 3 11.9 12.0 11.8 11.7 Average 12.5 12.1 12.6 12.6 3 38.4 39.6 36.8 38.2 3 38.4 39.2 36.7 38.2 3 38.4 39.3 41.3 38.4 4verage 38.1 39.4 38.3 38.3 4verage 47.6 48.4 50.6 49.0 Average 49.6 49.6 49.6 49.1	(nercent)	1 m	33	33	32	33	NS
r 1 12.4 12.0 12.6 12.6 2 13.1 12.4 11.9 13.4 3 11.9 12.0 11.8 11.7 Average 12.5 12.1 12.1 12.6 1 36.6 39.6 36.8 38.2 2 39.4 39.2 36.7 38.2 3 38.4 39.3 41.3 38.4 Average 38.1 39.4 39.3 41.3 38.4 1 51.2 48.4 50.6 49.0 2 48.4 50.6 49.0 3 49.6 48.8 46.9 50.0 40.6 49.6 49.6 49.1	(Lucy to d)	Average	34	33	33	34	
r 1 12.4 12.0 12.6 12.6 2 13.1 12.4 11.9 13.4 3 11.9 12.0 11.8 11.7 Average 12.5 12.1 12.1 12.6 1 36.6 39.6 36.8 38.2 3 38.4 39.3 41.3 38.4 3 38.4 39.3 41.3 38.4 4verage 38.1 39.4 38.3 38.3 1 51.2 48.4 50.6 49.0 3 49.6 48.8 46.9 50.0 3 49.6 49.6 49.6 49.1							
2 13.1 12.4 11.9 13.4 3 11.9 12.0 11.8 11.7 Average 12.5 12.1 12.1 12.6 1 36.6 39.6 36.8 38.2 2 39.4 39.2 36.7 38.2 3 8.4 39.3 41.3 38.4 3 38.4 39.4 38.3 38.4 1 51.2 48.4 50.6 49.0 Average 49.5 48.6 49.6 49.1	Fibers shorter	1	12.4	12.0	12.6	12.6	
Average 12.5 12.0 11.8 11.7 Average 12.5 12.1 12.1 12.6 1 36.6 39.6 36.8 38.2 2 39.4 39.2 36.7 38.4 3 38.4 39.3 41.3 38.4 Average 38.1 39.4 38.3 38.3 1 51.2 48.4 50.6 49.0 3 49.6 48.5 51.4 48.3 Average 49.5 48.6 49.6 49.1	than 1/2 inch	2	13.1	12.4	11.9	13,4	
Average 12.5 12.1 12.6 1 36.6 39.6 36.8 38.2 2 39.4 39.2 36.7 38.2 3 38.4 39.2 36.7 38.2 Average 38.1 39.4 38.3 38.4 1 51.2 48.4 50.6 49.0 2 47.6 48.5 51.4 48.3 3 49.6 48.5 51.4 48.3 4verage 49.5 48.6 49.6 49.1	(percent)	က	11.9	12.0	11.8	11.7	NS
1 36.6 39.6 36.8 38.2 2 39.4 39.2 36.7 38.2 3 8.4 39.3 41.3 38.4 Average 38.1 39.4 38.3 38.3 1 51.2 48.4 50.6 49.0 48.5 48.5 51.4 48.3 Average 49.5 48.6 49.6 49.1		Average	12.5	12.1	12,1	12.6	
2 39.4 39.2 36.7 38.2 38.4 39.3 41.3 38.4 38.3 38.4 38.3 38.4 38.3 38.3 38	; ; ;	-	3 7 7 8	30 6	36.8	38.7	
Average 38.4 39.3 41.3 38.4 38.4 38.4 38.3 38.4 38.3 38.4 38.3 38.4 38.3 38.4 38.3 38.4 38.3 38.4 38.3 38.4 38.4	1/2 inch to	, 0	7.68	39.2	36.7	38.2	
Average 38.1 39.4 38.3 38.3 1 51.2 48.4 50.6 49.0 2 47.6 48.5 51.4 48.3 3 49.6 48.8 46.9 50.0 Average 49.5 48.6 49.6 49.1	1, z inch 1 inch	۱ ۳	38.4	39,3	41.3	38,4	NS
1 51.2 48.4 50.6 49.0 2 47.6 48.5 51.4 48.3 3 49.6 48.8 46.9 50.0 49.5 48.6 49.6 49.1		Average	38.1	39.4	38:3	38.3	
1 51.2 48.4 50.6 49.0 2 47.6 48.5 51.4 48.3 3 49.6 48.8 46.9 50.0 Average 49.5 48.6 49.6 49.1							
2 47.6 48.5 51.4 48.3 3 49.6 48.8 46.9 50.0 Average 49.5 48.6 49.6 49.1	Fibers 1 inch	_	51.2	48.4	50.6	49.0	
3 49.6 48.8 46.9 50.0 Average 49.5 48.6 49.6 49.1	and longer	2	47.6	48.5	51.4	48.3	
Average 49.5 48.6 49.6	(percent)	m	9.64	48.8	6.94	50.0	NS
	,	Average	49.5	48.6	49.6	49.1	

1/ NS means not significant at 95-percent level.

Fiber length and strength measurements as effected by hexadecyl alcohol used as a picker spindle moistener, tests of 1962 Table 7.

			- 1			
		Water only	ALCOHOL US	used on picker spir gallons per bale	spinales ==	
Item tested	Repli- cation	(Control treatment)	0.20 - 0.29 (Low rate)		0.40 - 0.49 (High rate)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{Statistical} \\ \mathtt{significance}^{1}/ \end{array}$
Upper half mean (inches)	. 3 2 1	1.02	1.01	1.02	1.00	NS
Mean (inches)	Average 1 2 3	. 78 . 80 . 81	.78	. 80 . 80 . 80 . 80	.78	NS
	Average	. 80	.78	. 80	.81	
Uniformity ratio	1 2 3 Average	76 77 79 77	77 76 78 77	78 78 80 79	78 78 81 79	*
"0" gage (1,000 p.s.i.)	1 2 3 Average	85 82 83	85 84 78 82	78 82 80 80	84 81 80 82	NS
1/8 inch gage (grams/tex)	1 2 3 Average	20.3 20.4 20.6 20.4	20.3 20.4 20.2 20.3	20.4 21.0 20.2 20.5	20.2 20.5 20.7 20.5	NS
Micronaire (reading)	1 2 3 Average	4.6 4.6 4.8 7.4	8.4	4.6 4.6 4.8 4.7	4.6 4.7 4.8	NS

NS means not significant at 95-percent level; * means significant at 95-percent level. 71

Stated cotton properties as effected by hexadecyl alcohol used as a picker spindle moistener, tests of 1962 Table 8.

			/ A 1 = -1 = -1	- 1	-1	
		Water only	100107	used on picker spi gallons per bale	spinares =	
Item tested	Repli- cation	(Control treatment)	0.20 - 0.29 (Low rate)	0.30 - 0.39 (Medium rate)	0.40 - 0.49 (High rate)	Statistical significance 1/
Adimeted total	,-	05 7	4.51	68.7	65.4	
nicker and	. 6	4.36	4.60	4,37	4.61	
card waste	· m	4.41	4.38	4.42	4.36	NS
(percent)	Average	4.42	4.50	4.39	4.52	
N octob	,-	19	81	19	~~~	
100	2 2	18	20	16	17	
square inches	l m	13	16	15	15	NS
-	Average	17	18	17	17	
		1	1	,	1	
Break factor		1763	1722	1669	1741	
40s yarn	2	1710	1709	1735	1688	
(units)	m	1728	1671	1673	1686	NS
	Average	1734	1701	1692	1705	
Sinole strand		166.4	165.6	165.0	166.8	
strength	2	173.8	162,4	160.2	170.4	
(grams)	က	180.4	163.0	163.0	164.4	NS
	Average	173.5	163.7	162.7	162.7	
Single strand						
strength	, - 1	11.0	11.9	12,3	13.1	
coefficient of	2	13.1	12.0	11.4	12.0	
variation	က	12.5	12.6	13.2	14.2	NS
(percent)	Average	12.2	12.2	12,3	13.1	
Ends down	-	73	71	62	09	
per 1.000	2	99	67	59	57	
spindle hours	ന	74	89	65	99	**
(corrected)	Average	7.1	69	62	61	

NS means not significant at 95-percent level; ** means significant at 99-percent level. 1-

Yarn properties as effected by hexadecyl alcohol used as a picker spindle moistener, tests of 1962 Table 9.

	Statistical significance1/		NS			NS				NS				NS				NS	
spindles -	0.40 - 0.49 (High rate)	1340	1236 1327	2308	2445	2249	2334	750	1045	956	716	23.9	25.2	24.9	24.7	100	100	100	100
Alcohol used on picker spi	0.30 - 0.39 (Medium rate)	1464	1282 1282	2477	2238	2182	2299	1069	804	969	856	25.1	23.9	23.4	24.1	100	110	100	103
Alcohol us	0.20 - 0.29 (Low rate)	1362	1246 1328 1313	2406	2092	2331	2276	1060	583	923	855	24.6	23.8	24.7	24.4	100	100	100	100
Water only	(Control treatment)	1308	1293 1014 1205	2160	2280	1983	2141	7.24	858	652	745	23.6	24.1	23.4	23.7	100	100	100	100
	Repli- cation	П (2 3 Average	1	2	က	Average	-	2	m	Average		2	3	Average	-	2	l m	Average
	Item tested	Neps	per 1,000 yards (number)	Thick places	per 1,000	yards	(number)	Low places	per 1,000	yards	(number)	Irregularity	coefficient of	variation	(percent)	Varn	annearance	(index)	

 $\frac{1}{2}$ NS means not significant at 95-percent level.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Agricultural Research Service Beltsville, Maryland 20705

Official Business

Postage and Fees Paid U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE